arXiv:1607.04478v1 [cond-mat.soft] 15 Jul 2016

Steady state of active systems is characterized by unique effective temperature

Saroj Kumar Nandi*
Max-Planck-Institut für Physik Complexer Systeme, Nöthnitzer Straße 38, Dresden 01187, Germany

Understanding the properties of active matter systems, consisting of particles capable of taking up and dissipating energy and thus driven out of equilibrium, is important as it provides the possibility of a unified framework to analyze a diverse class of biological systems. Analysis of a large number of such systems shows an extension of equilibrium-like ideas are, sometimes, capable of capturing the steady state properties and a thermodynamic formulation of the problem might be possible. Investigating the detailed steady state properties and how the systems depart from equilibrium is important for such a formulation. Here we address the question through the framework of mode-coupling theory for dense active systems. We obtain a generic nonequilirbium theory for such systems and then taking the steady state limit of the theory we show that the system is characterized by a unique effective temperature, unlike other driven systems like a glass under shear. We discuss the differences of the steady states of an active system with that of a system under steady shear.

Application of basic physics principles to living organisms to understand their properties in a unified and generic framework is one of the most important developments in recent times [1–3]. Such a framework allows, in one hand, investigation of some of the fascinating physical phenomena that are absent in a passive system [4–8], on the other hand, it enables one to apply, explore, understand and generalize the complex biological functions in widespread variety of living systems, starting from cells and tissues to large scale animal behavior [9–12]. Active particles are by definition out of equilibrium as they consume energy and perform mechanical work [3, 13] and therefore care must be taken while applying physical principles to such systems. Compared to equilibrium statistical physics, the field of nonequilibrium physics still remains not so well-understood and largely open despite the construction of a number of exact results applicable for such systems in recent times [14, 15]. Active matter systems are a distinct class of nonequilibrium systems where the driving energy are pumped at the scale of particles [1, 2, 16, 17] compared to other driven systems like those under a steady shear.

An increasingly large number of works have shown that it is sometimes possible to extend equilibrium-like ideas for such systems and a thermodynamic description of the system still exists even in the presence of active noise expressing different thermodynamic quantities in terms of active and passive contributions [18–23]. Ref. [22] provides a detailed description in terms of pressure and phase equilibria for a system of interacting active Brownian spherical particles where torque is not important. [24] shows that the phase separation dynamics of active Brownian particles can be mapped onto that of passive particles and [13] shows that time-reversal symmetry still holds and the entropy production rate vanishes for short persistence times of the active particles and an effective temperature description of the system, similar to systems in thermal equilibrium, is possible. An extension of



FIG. 1: Effective temperature T_{eff} of an active system as obtained through Eq. (10). T_{eff} is given by the actual temperature T and activity α alone. It doesn't depend on λ as shown by the top curves for four different λ but same $\alpha = 0.3$.

random first order transition theory [25] for active self-propelled systems with the assumption of the existence of a thermodynamic description of the system and adding activity as an additional free energy in the system yields excellent agreement with simulation results [26]. However, it's not obvious why an extension of equilibrium-like ideas should at all work for a nonequilibrium system of immense complexity and the limit of applicability of this concept is currently being spiritedly debated [27, 28]. It's not clear at present if a unified framework for such systems with wide variety of driving mechanism is possible [22]. A detailed understanding of the departure of active systems from equilibrium and the properties of the steady state is crucial to develop a thermodynamic framework for such systems. Important insights have been obtained through the demonstration of the possibility of an effective temperature description of active systems [29–31].

In this work we address this issue through the framework of mode-coupling theory (MCT) [32, 33]. We first

*Electronic address: sarojnandi@gmail.com

obtain a general nonequilibrium theory for an active system in the dense regime through the field-theoretic formulation of MCT and then, taking the steady state limit of the theory, we show that the system is describable by a unique effective temperature, T_{eff}, obtained through a modified fluctuation-dissipation relation (FDR). T_{eff} is solely determined by the bath temperature and activity as shown in Fig. 1. We then obtain T_{eff} for another class of well-known driven steady states, that of dense systems under an externally applied shear, through a similar formalism and compare the behavior of T_{eff} for these two classes of systems. We show that whereas the latter class of systems have two distinct T_{eff} at short and long times of observation (Figs. 3 and 4), the former is characterized by a unique T_{eff} at all times. This, possibly, allows extension of equilibrium-like ideas for active systems to successfully capture the system properties and points towards the possibility for an effective thermodynamic description for such systems.

We start with the continuity equations for density, $\rho(\mathbf{r},t)$, and momentum density, $\rho(\mathbf{r},t)\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r},t)$, with $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r},t)$ being the velocity field, at position $\mathbf{r}$ and time t, describing an active fluid as

$$\frac{\partial\rho(\mathbf{r},t)}{\partial t} = -\nabla\cdot[\rho(\mathbf{r},t)\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r},t)] \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial(\rho\mathbf{v})}{\partial t} + \nabla\cdot\rho\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v} = \eta\nabla^2\mathbf{v} + (\zeta+\eta/3)\nabla\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v} - \rho\nabla\frac{\delta\mathcal{F}}{\delta\rho} + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(\mathbf{r},t) + \tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{r},t), \tag{2}$$

where η and ζ are shear and bulk viscosities of the fluid, thermal fluctuations enter through $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(\mathbf{r},t)$, a Gaussian white noise with $\langle\tilde{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(\mathbf{r},t)\tilde{\boldsymbol{\eta}}(\mathbf{r}',t')\rangle = -2k_BT[\eta\boldsymbol{I}\nabla^2 + (\zeta+\eta/3)\nabla\nabla]\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')\delta(t-t')$, where k_B, the Boltzmann constant, T, the temperature, $\boldsymbol{I}$, the unit tensor in dimension d. Activity enters through $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{r},t)$ with $\langle\tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{r},t)\tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{r}',t')\rangle = F_A(r-r',t-t')$ where F_A depends on the microscopic details of the system. $\mathcal{F}$ is a suitably defined free energy functional that we take to be the Ramakrishnan-Yussouff (RY) functional [34]

$$\beta\mathcal{F} = \int_{\mathbf{r}}\left\{\rho(\mathbf{r},t)\ln\frac{\rho(\mathbf{r},t)}{\rho_0} - [\rho(\mathbf{r},t)-\rho_0]\right\} - \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'} c(|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|)[\rho(\mathbf{r},t)-\rho_0][\rho(\mathbf{r}',t)-\rho_0] \tag{3}$$

where $\int_{\mathbf{r}}\equiv\int\mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}$, ρ_0 is the uniform background density and $c(r)$, the direct correlation function, encodes the interaction among the constituent particles. Linearizing Eqs. (1) and (2), taking divergence of (2) and using linearized (1) to eliminate divergence of velocity in (2), we obtain the equation of motion in terms of density [35] in Fourier space as

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \Gamma_k\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{k_BTk^2}{S_k}\right]\delta\rho_k(t) = -i\mathbf{k}\cdot[\mathbf{F}^{\rho\rho}_{\mathbf{k}} + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\eta}} + \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_{\mathbf{k}}], \tag{4}$$

where $\Gamma_k = (\zeta+4\eta/3)k^2/\rho_0$ and $S_k = 1/(1-\rho_0c_k)$, the static structure factor. The Fourier transforms are defined as $\delta\rho(\mathbf{r},t) = [\rho(\mathbf{r},t)-\rho_0] = \int_{\mathbf{k}}\delta\rho_k(t)e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}$, etc with $\int_{\mathbf{k}}\equiv\int\mathrm{d}^dk/(2\pi)^d$. The Force density is

$$\mathbf{F}^{\rho\rho}_{\mathbf{k}}(t) = \frac{ik_BT}{2}\int_{\mathbf{q}}[\mathbf{q}c_{\mathbf{q}} + (\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})c_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}}]\delta\rho_{\mathbf{q}}(t)\delta\rho_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}}(t). \tag{5}$$

We take the schematic version of Eq. (4) along with (5) as the starting point of our field theoretic formulation for the nonequilibrium MCT as

$$\frac{\partial\phi(t)}{\partial t} + \mu(t)\phi(t) = -\frac{g}{2}\phi^2(t) + \eta(t) + f(t) \tag{6}$$

where we have allowed a time-dependence in the frequency term $\mu(t)$, that corresponds to k_BTk^2/S_k in Eq. (4), as this term needs to be evaluated at each time in the nonequilibrium state as detailed for the aging phenomena in glassy systems in [36]. $\eta(t)$ is the bare noise with $\langle\eta(t)\eta(t')\rangle = 2T\delta(t-t')$ and $f(t)$ is the active noise whose statistics is given by zero mean and $\langle f(t)f(t')\rangle = 2\Delta(t-t')$ where we have set k_B to unity. g gives the interaction strength. Although we are interested in the steady state properties of the system, we first obtain the equations describing a general nonequilibrium state of the system and then take the steady state limit. Following the field theoretic method [37–40] we obtain the equations of motion for the correlation, $C(t,t') = \langle\phi(t)\phi(t')\rangle$, and response, $R(t,t') = \langle\partial\phi(t)/\partial\eta(t')\rangle$, functions

$$\frac{\partial C(t,t')}{\partial t} = -\mu(t)C(t,t') + \int_0^{t'}\mathrm{d}sD(t,s)R(t',s) + \int_0^t\mathrm{d}s\Sigma(t,s)C(s,t') + 2TR(t',t) \tag{7}$$

$$\frac{\partial R(t,t')}{\partial t} = -\mu(t)R(t,t') + \int_{t'}^t\mathrm{d}s\Sigma(t,s)R(s,t') + \delta(t-s) \tag{8}$$

$$\mu(t) = T + \int_0^t\mathrm{d}s[D(t,s)R(t,s) + \Sigma(t,s)C(t,s)] \tag{9}$$

with $D(t,s) = 2\lambda C^2(t,s) + \Delta(t-s)$ and $\Sigma(t,s) = 4\lambda C(t,s)R(t,s)$ where, following standard notation, we have used $g^2 = 4\lambda$. This set of equations capture the nonequilibrium state, including the aging regime [41, 42], of the system quite well. Similar equations were also obtained in [8] for a p-spin spherical active spin glass model. For the numerical solutions of these equations [36, 43], it is advantageous to use an integrated response function, $F(t,t') = -\int_{t'}^t R(t,s)\mathrm{d}s$, since the behavior of $F(t,t')$ is smoother compared to $R(t,t')$. We assume that a steady state, characterized by an effective temperature T_{eff}, exists for the system and the two-time functions become functions of the time-difference only, such as $C(t,t') = C(t-t')$, in the steady state. We define T_{eff} through a modified FDR as

$$\frac{\partial C(\tau)}{\partial\tau} = T_{eff}\frac{\partial F(\tau)}{\partial\tau}. \tag{10}$$



FIG. 2: Behavior of the correlation function $C(\tau)$ for the passive and active systems. The passive system shows MCT transition at $\lambda = 2$ as shown by the solid lines as a function of $\epsilon = (2 - \lambda)$. As activity is introduced with $\alpha = 0.1$, $C(\tau)$ decays to zero even when λ is much higher than 2 as shown by the dotted lines. The MCT transition appears again at $\lambda = 2.42$.

For the simplicity of the calculation, we take $\Delta(t) = \alpha\delta(t)$ although our results should hold for more general cases as long as the correlation time of the active noise is finite. Taking the long-time limit for both t and t' and writing $t - t' = \tau$, we obtain the steady state equations for the active system as

$$\frac{\partial C(\tau)}{\partial \tau} = -(T+\alpha)C(\tau) - 2\lambda \int_0^\tau C^2(\tau - s)\frac{\partial F(s)}{\partial s}\mathrm{d}s \tag{11}$$

$$\frac{\partial F(\tau)}{\partial \tau} = -1 - (T+\alpha)F(\tau) - \frac{2\lambda}{T_{eff}} \int_0^\tau C^2(\tau - s)\frac{\partial F(s)}{\partial s}\mathrm{d}s$$

where we have used Eq. (10) to obtain these equations. Note that Eq. (10) is not the equilibrium FDR and hence we need to write down the equations for both the correlation and response functions. We will obtain T_{eff} dynamically through the solution of Eq. (11) and using Eq. (10) at each time.

The bath temperature T is set to unity in the numerical solutions of Eq. (11). We first look at the behavior of the correlation function. For a passive system when $\alpha = 0$, Eq. (11) shows the MCT transition at $\lambda = 2$. We define $\epsilon = (2 - \lambda)$ and show the behavior of $C(\tau)$ in Fig. 2. As we introduce activity, $\alpha = 0.1$, $C(\tau)$ decays to zero even when λ is much above 2 showing the activity induced fluidization [6, 7, 44]. The MCT transition appears again at $\lambda = 2.42$. Thus, activity modifies the transition point although the nature of the transition remains same [7, 8, 45]. We now look at the behavior of T_{eff} dynamically evaluated through Eq. (10) at each time by solving Eq. (11) for $C(\tau)$ and $F(\tau)$. T_{eff} remains constant at all time and its value is independent of λ as shown in Fig. 1. T_{eff} is determined by the actual bath temperature T and activity α alone. For the delta-correlated active noise that we considered, we obtain $T_{eff} = T + \alpha$. The detailed value will depend on the nature of activity, but as long as the correlation time of active noise is finite, T_{eff} will have similar behavior. Perhaps, this explains why extension of equilibrium-like ideas are applicable for active systems as has been shown by a number of recent works [13, 18, 26, 46, 47] and key to the feasibility of a thermodynamic formulation for such systems. Although a constant T_{eff} isn't sufficient to guarantee such a formulation, it's certainly a necessity.

For a deeper appreciation of the behavior of T_{eff} in a steady state system, we now look at another system in driven steady state under a constant shear. For this purpose, we start from the MCT equations that were investigated in Ref. [36] for an aging system under shear

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial R(t,t_w)}{\partial t} =& -\mu(t)R(t,t_w) + \delta(t-t_w) \\ &+ 4\lambda\int_{t_w}^t e^{-\dot\gamma(t-s)}R(t,s)C(t,s)R(s,t_w)\mathrm{d}s \\ \frac{\partial C(t,t_w)}{\partial t} =& -\mu(t)C(t,t_w) + 2TR(t_w,t) \\ &+ 4\lambda\int_0^t e^{-\dot\gamma(t-s)}R(t,s)C(t,s)C(s,t_w)\mathrm{d}s \\ &+ 2\lambda\int_0^{t_w} e^{-\dot\gamma(t-s)}C^2(t,s)R(t_w,s)\mathrm{d}s \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

with the frequency term given as

$$\mu(t) = T + 6\lambda\int_0^t e^{-\dot\gamma(t-s)}C^2(t,s)R(t,s)\mathrm{d}s$$

where t_w is the waiting time and $\dot\gamma$, the shear rate. These equations can be derived starting from Eq. (6) without the active noise as shown in [36]. Shear cuts-off the aging dynamics when $t_w \sim \dot\gamma^{-1}$ and the system reaches a steady state. Therefore, in the limit of $t_w \to \infty$, the correlation and response functions become functions of the time difference $\tau = (t - t_w)$ only. We work in terms of the integrated response function as before and we can define an effective temperature as in Eq. (10). Taking $t_w \to \infty$ (and $t \to \infty$ as needed by causality), we obtain the equations of motion for the steady state of the system under shear [48]. Since we haven't used any equilibrium relation like FDR, we need to write down equations for both (integrated) response and correlation functions as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial F(\tau)}{\partial \tau} =& -1 - \mu F(\tau) \\ &+4\lambda\int_0^\tau e^{-\dot\gamma(\tau-s)}\frac{\partial F(\tau-s)}{\partial s}C(\tau-s)F(s)\mathrm{d}s \\ \frac{\partial C(\tau)}{\partial \tau} =& -TC(\tau) - 2\lambda\int_0^\tau e^{-\dot\gamma(\tau-s)}C^2(\tau-s)\frac{\partial F(s)}{\partial s}\mathrm{d}s, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

with $\mu = T + 2\lambda/T_{eff}$.



FIG. 3: The effective temperature T_{eff} of a sheared system at steady state. T_{eff} equals the bath temperature T at short time and it evolves to a different value at long time. Similar behavior has also been observed in numerical studies [49–51]. **Inset:** We have fitted T_{eff} as a function of $\dot{\gamma}$ with a power law and obtained an exponent 1/4.



FIG. 4: T_{eff} of a sheared system explicitly depends on λ unlike an active system (Fig. 1). **Inset:** Behavior of the correlation function for the corresponding parameter values as in the main figure.

We again set the bath temperature T to unity and solve Eq. (12) with different values of $\dot{\gamma}$ and λ. The behavior of the effective temperature as in Eq. (10) is shown in Fig. 3 for $\lambda = 2.1$. Behavior of T_{eff} in this case is markedly different from that for the active system as shown in Fig. 1. For the steady state under shear T_{eff} has two distinct regimes. At very short time, T_{eff} is same as T, the bath temperature, however, as shear sets in, at long time, T_{eff} is different from T. Shear doesn't affect the system at very short time and T_{eff} assumes the equilibrium temperature. As time increases, the energy pumped into the system through shear reaches the microscopic degrees of freedom at a timescale $t \sim \dot{\gamma}^{-1}$ and T_{eff} differs from T. We haven't explored in detail the transition of T_{eff} from T, although such a study will be quite instructive to learn the detailed effects of shear on the microscopic degrees of freedom of the system. Similar behavior of T_{eff} has also been observed in numerical studies of a sheared foam [49], a two-dimensional glass forming model system of hard disks interacting through repulsive harmonic potential [50] and in simulations of other glassy systems under steady shear [51–53] as well as within mode-coupling theories for spin-glasses [54] and colloids [55]. We have fitted the long-time value of T_{eff} as a function of $\dot{\gamma}$ with an algebraic function, $T_{eff} - T \sim \dot{\gamma}^a$ and obtained $a = 1/4$ as shown in the inset of Fig. 3. It is possible that a will also depend on λ, we haven't investigated this dependence in this work. The effective temperature for the sheared system also depends on λ as is shown in Fig. 4 for five different λ but same value of $\dot{\gamma} = 10^{-1}$. We also show the behavior of the correlation function for these parameters in the inset. Unlike the active case where the effective temperature doesn't depend on λ if we keep T fixed (see Fig. 1), T_{eff} for a sheared system explicitly depends on λ.

The difference in λ-dependence behavior of T_{eff} for the sheared and active steady states can be understood from MCT transition point of view. If we keep the temperature fixed in both the systems, the only control parameter is λ (that depends on density, for example). For the sheared steady state, since the correlation function must decay to zero at long time, if we increase λ, the only way the system can accomplish this by increasing its effective temperature and thus T_{eff} must depend on λ. For the active system, on the other hand, the correlation function doesn't have to decay to zero as the system can have the MCT transition, thus, if we increase λ, the system is not obliged to increase its T_{eff}. This plausibility argument, however, doesn't entirely explain the detailed behavior of T_{eff} for active systems and requires more work as it is central to the prospect of a thermodynamic formulation for such systems.

Thus, we see that the steady state of an active system is quite distinct compared to other driven steady states. One reason for this difference with the sheared steady state might be that shear pumps energy into the system through the boundaries and the microscopic degrees of freedom of the system feels the effect of shear at a time scale $\sim \mathcal{O}(\dot{\gamma}^{-1})$, however, activity pumps energy through the microscopic degrees of freedom and affects the system instantaneously. Within a random first order transition theory picture [25], shear, being an external drive to the system, affects the boundaries of the mosaics of most probable length scale of the system [56], whereas activity, being some kind of internal driving mechanism, affects the bulk of such mosaics [26]. Shear, no matter how small, will always cut-off the MCT transition and the system goes to a liquid state but the MCT transition survives under active driving. On the other hand ac-

tivity changes the material properties of the system like more active systems are stronger glass formers [26, 57], but shear doesn't change any inherent material property. Although our results don't ensure the possibility of a unified thermodynamic formulation for diverse class of active systems, they certainly point towards the positive direction and rationalize the findings why an extension of equilibrium-like ideas are, sometimes, capable of capturing the system properties under active noise as a number of recent studies show.

[1] S. Ramaswamy, Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. **1**, 323 (2010).
[2] M. C. Marchetti, J. F. Joanny, S. Ramaswamy, T. B. Liverpool, J. Prost, M. Rao, and R. A. Simha, Rev. Mod. Phys. **85**, 1143 (2013).
[3] M. E. Cates and J. Tailleur, Ann. Rev. Con. Mat. Phys. **6**, 219 (2015).
[4] T. Kranz, M. Sperl, and A. Zippelius, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 225701 (2010).
[5] C. A. Weber, C. Bock, and E. Frey, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 168301 (2014).
[6] R. Mandal, P. J. Bhuyan, M. Rao, and C. Dasgupta, *Active fluidization in dense glassy systems*, arXiv: 1412.1631 (2014), URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1412.1631.
[7] R. Ni, M. A. C. Stuart, and M. Dijkstra, Nat. Commun **4**, 2704 (2013).
[8] L. Berthier and J. Kurchan, Nat. Phys. **9**, 310 (2013).
[9] A. Cavagna, A. Cimarelli, I. Giardina, G. Parisi, R. Santagati, F. Stefanini, and M. Viale, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA) **107**, 11865 (2010).
[10] T. E. Angelini, E. Hannezo, X. Trepat, M. Marquez, J. J. Fredberg, and D. A. Weitz, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA) **108**, 4717 (2011).
[11] J. Ranft, M. Basana, J. Elgeti, J. F. Joannya, J. Prost, and F. Jülicher, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **107**, 20863 (2010).
[12] J. Prost, F. Jülicher, and J.-F. Joanny, Nat. Phys. **11**, 111 (2015).
[13] É. Fodor, C. Nardini, M. E. Cates, J. Tailleur, P. Visco, and F. van Wijland, *How far from equilibrium is active matter?*, arXiv:1604.00953 (2016), URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1604.00953v1.
[14] B. Derrida, J. Stat. Mech.: Theo. Expt. p. P07023 (2007).
[15] J. Kurchan, J. Stat. Mech.: Theo. Expt. p. P07005 (2007).
[16] A. P. Solon, M. E. Cates, and J. Tailleur, Eur. Phys. J. Special Topics **224**, 1231 (2015).
[17] M. C. Marchetti, Y. Fily, S. Henkes, A. Patch, and D. Yllanes, Current Opin. Coll. Interface Sci. **21**, 34 (2016).
[18] J. Tailleur and M. E. Cates, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 218103 (2008).
[19] G. S. Redner, A. Baskaran, and M. F. Hagan, Phys. Rev. E **88**, 012305 (2013).
[20] S. C. Takatori, W. Yan, and J. F. Brady, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 028103 (2014).
[21] T. F. F. Farage, P. Krinninger, and J. M. Brader, Phys. Rev. E **91**, 042310 (2015).
[22] A. P. Solon, J. Stenhammar, R. Wittkowski, M. Kardar, Y. Kafri, M. E. Cates, and J. Tailleur, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 198301 (2015).
[23] G. S. Redner, C. G. Wagner, A. Baskaran, and M. F. Hagan, arXiv: 1603.01362 (2016), URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1603.01362.
[24] T. Speck, J. Bialké, A. M. Menzel, and H. Löwen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 218304 (2014).
[25] T. R. Kirkpatrick and D. Thirumalai, Rev. Mod. Phys. **87**, 183 (2015).
[26] S. K. Nandi, *Activity is strength: More active systems are stronger glass formers*, arXiv:1605.06073 (2016), URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1605.06073.
[27] R. Wittkowski, A. Tiribocchi, J. Stenhammar, R. J. Allen, D. Marenduzzo, and M. E. Cates, Nat. Commun. **5**, 4351 (2014).
[28] J. Bialké, J. T. Siebert, H. Lwen, and T. Speck, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 098301 (2015).
[29] G. Szamel, Phys. Rev. E **90**, 012111 (2014).
[30] D. Loi, S. Mossa, and L. F. Cugliandolo, Soft Matter **7**, 3726 (2011).
[31] D. Loi, S. Mossa, and L. F. Cugliandolo, Soft Matter **7**, 10193 (2011).
[32] W. Götze, *Complex Dynamics of Glass-Forming Liquids: A Mode-Coupling Theory* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008).
[33] S. P. Das, Rev. Mod. Phys. **76**, 785 (2004).
[34] T. V. Ramakrishnan and M. Yussouff, Phys. Rev. B **19**, 2775 (1979).
[35] S. K. Nandi, S. M. Bhattacharyya, and S. Ramaswamy, Phys. Rev. E **84**, 061501 (2011).
[36] S. K. Nandi and S. Ramaswamy, Phys. Rev. E **94**, 012607 (2016).
[37] D. R. Reichman and P. Charbonneau, J. Stat. Mech. p. P05013 (2005).
[38] T. Castellani and A. Cavagna, J. Stat. Mech.: Theor. Expt. p. P05012 (2005).
[39] K. Miyazaki and D. R. Reichman, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **38**, L343 (2005).
[40] B. Kim and K. Kawasaki, J. Stat. Mech.: Theor. Expt. p. P02004 (2008).
[41] S. K. Nandi and S. Ramaswamy, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 115702 (2012).
[42] L. F. Cugliandolo and J. Kurchan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 173 (1993).
[43] S. K. Nandi, Ph.D. thesis, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India (2012).
[44] L. Berthier, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 220602 (2014).
[45] S. K. Nandi, *An active system can actively self-regulate its viscous length scale*, submitted (2016).
[46] E. Mani and H. Löwen, Phys. Rev. E **92**, 032301 (2015).
[47] X. Yang, M. L. Manning, and M. C. Marchetti, Soft Matter **10**, 6477 (2014).
[48] S. K. Nandi, Phys. Rev. E **92**, 042306 (2015).
[49] I. K. Ono, C. S. O'Hern, D. J. Durian, S. A. L. A. J. Liu, and S. R. Nagel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 095703 (2002).
[50] T. K. Haxton and A. J. Liu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 195701 (2007).
[51] L. Berthier and J. L. Barrat, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 095702 (2002).

[52] L. Berthier and J. L. Barrat, J. Chem. Phys. **116**, 6228 (2002).
[53] C. S. O'Hern, A. J. Liu, and S. R. Nagel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 165702 (2004).
[54] L. Berthier, J.-L. Barrat, and J. Kurchan, Phys. Rev. E **61**, 5464 (2000).
[55] M. Krüger and M. Fuchs, Phys. Rev. E **81**, 011408 (2010).
[56] V. Lubchenko, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA) **106**, 11506 (2009).
[57] E. H. Zhou, X. Trepat, C. Y. Park, G. Lenormand, M. N. Oliver, S. M. Mijailovich, C. Hardin, D. A. Weitz, J. P. Butler, and J. J. Fredberg, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA) **106**, 10632 (2009).